arXiv:1406.4577v2 [math.AP] 13 May 2015

INFINITELY MANY SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH NON-SYMMETRIC ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

WEIMING LIU, CHUNHUA WANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with non-symmetric electromagnetic fields

$$\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 u + V_\epsilon(x)u = f(u), \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $A_\epsilon(x) = (A_{\epsilon,1}(x), A_{\epsilon,2}(x), \cdots, A_{\epsilon,N}(x))$ is a magnetic field satisfying that $A_{\epsilon,j}(x)(j = 1, \ldots, N)$ is a real C^1 bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $V_\epsilon(x)$ is an electric potential. Both of them satisfy some decay conditions and $f(u)$ is a superlinear nonlinearity satisfying some non-degeneracy condition. Applying two times finite reduction methods and localized energy method, we prove that there exists some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, the above problem has infinitely many complex-valued solutions.

Keywords: Electromagnetic fields; Finite reduction method; Localized energy method; Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Non-symmetric.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J10, 35B99, 35J60.

Contents

1. Introduction and main result 1
2. Finite-dimensional reduction 5
3. A secondary Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction 14
4. Proof of the main result 20
Appendix A. Some technical estimates 30
References 31

1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we investigate the existence of standing waves $\psi(x,t) = e^{-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}}u(x)$, $E \in \mathbb{R}, u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ to the time-dependent nonlinear Schrödinger equation with an external electromagnetic field

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \Big(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - A(x)\Big)^2\psi + G(x)\psi - f(x,\psi), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

which arises in various physical contexts such as nonlinear optics or plasma physics where one simulates the interaction effect among many particles by introducing a nonlinear term (see [28]). The function $\psi(x,t)$ takes on complex values, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, i is the imaginary unit. Here A denotes a magnetic potential and the Schrödinger operator is defined by

$$\Big(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - A(x)\Big)^2\psi := -\hbar^2\Delta\psi - \frac{2\hbar}{i}A\cdot\nabla\psi + |A|^2\psi - \frac{\hbar}{i}\psi divA.$$

Actually, in general dimension, the magnetic field B is a 2-form where $B_{k,j} = \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j$; in the case $N = 3, B = curl A$. The function G represents an electric potential.

Assuming $f(x, e^{i\theta}u) = e^{i\theta} f(x, u), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and substituting this ansatz $\psi(x,t) = e^{-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}}u(x)$ into (1.1), one is led to solve the complex semilinear elliptic equation

$$\Big(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - A(x)\Big)^2 u + (G(x) - E)u = f(x,u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.2}$$

For simplicity, let $V(x) = (G(x) - E)$ and assume that V is strictly positive on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$. The transition from quantum mechanics to classical mechanics can be formally described by letting $\hbar \to 0$, and thus the existence of solutions for $\hbar$ small has physical interest. Standing waves for $\hbar$ small are usually referred as semi-classical bound states (see [16]).

When $A(x) \equiv 0$, problem (1.2) arises in various applications, such as chemotaxis, population genetics, chemical reactor theory, and the study of standing waves of certain nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In recent years, a considerable amount of work has been devoted to study wave solutions of (1.2) with $A(x) \equiv 0$. Among of them, we refer to [5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 15, 21, 22, 25, 27, 29]. Recently, in [1], Ao and Wei applying localized energy method obtained infinitely many positive solutions for (1.2) with non-symmetric potential.

On the contrary, there are still relatively few papers which deal with the case $A(x) \not\equiv 0$, namely when a magnetic field is present. The first result on magnetic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is due to Esteban and Lions in [14]. They obtained the existence of standing waves to (1.2) for $\hbar$ fixed and for special classes of magnetic fields by solving an appropriate minimization problem for the corresponding energy functional in the cases of $N = 2, 3$. In [9], Cao and Tang constructed semiclassical multi-peak solutions for (1.2) with bounded vector potentials. In [8], using a penalization procedure, Cingolani and Secchi extended the result in [7] to the case of a vector potential A, possibly unbounded. The penalization approach was also used in [3] by Bartsch, Dancer and Peng to obtain multi-bump semiclassical bound for problem (1.2) with more general nonlinear term $f(x, u)$. In [19], Kurata proved the existence of least energy solution of (1.2) for $\hbar > 0$ under a condition relating $V(x)$ and $A(x)$. In [16, 17], Helffer studied asymptotic behavior of the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields in the semiclassical limit. See also [2] for generalization of the results and in [18] for potentials which degenerate at infinity. In [20], Li, Peng and Wang applied the finite reduction method to obtain infinitely many non-radial complex valued solutions for (1.2) with radial electromagnetic fields satisfying some algebraic decaying conditions. Liu and Wang in [23] extends the result to some weaker symmetric conditions. In [26], Pi and Wang obtained multi-bump solutions for (1.2) with $\hbar = 1, f(x, u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ and an electrical potential satisfying a condition by applying the finite reduction method.

In this paper, inspired by [1, 30], our main idea is to use the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method. We want to point out that the only assumption we need is the non-degeneracy of the bump. We have no requirements on the structure of the nonlinearity.

If $\hbar = 1$, $A(x) = A_0 + \epsilon\tilde{A}(x)$, $V(x) = 1 + \epsilon\tilde{V}(x)$ and $f(x, u) = f(u)$, then (1.2) is reduced to the following complex problem

$$\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0 - \epsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big)^2 u + (1 + \epsilon\tilde{V}(x))u = f(u), \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}).$$

For simplicity of notations, in the sequel, we denote

$$A_\epsilon(x) = A_0 + \epsilon\tilde{A}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad V_\epsilon(x) = 1 + \epsilon\tilde{V}(x).$$

Then we are concerned with the following problem

$$\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 u + V_\epsilon(x)u = f(u), \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}). \tag{1.3}$$

In order to state our main result, we give the conditions imposed on $\tilde{A}(x)$, $\tilde{V}(x)$ and f:

(A_1) $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\tilde{A}(x)| = 0$;

(A_2) $\exists 0 < \alpha_1 < 1$, $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 e^{\alpha_1|x|} = +\infty$;

(A_3) $\exists 0 < \alpha_2 < 1$, $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |div\tilde{A}(x)|^2 e^{\alpha_2|x|} = +\infty$;

(A_4) $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\nabla\tilde{A}(x)| = 0$;

(V_1) $\tilde{V}(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\tilde{V}(x)| = 0$;

(V_2) $\exists 0 < \alpha_3 < 1$, $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\tilde{V}(x)| e^{\alpha_3|x|} = +\infty$;

(f_1) $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is of class $C^{1+\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta \le 1$, $f'(0) = 0$;

(f_2) $f(e^{i\theta}u) = e^{i\theta} f(u), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^1$;

(f_3) The equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + w = f(w), & w > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} w(x) = 0, & w(0) = \max\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} w(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

has a non-degenerate solution w, i.e.,

$$ker(\Delta - 1 + f'(w)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) = span\Big\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_N}\Big\}.$$

Particularly, $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ satisfies (f_2).

Under the above assumptions, the spectrum of the linearized operator

$$\Delta\varphi - \varphi + f'(w)\varphi = \lambda\varphi, \quad \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

admits the following decompositions

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \ldots > \lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1} = 0 > \lambda_{n+2}$$

where each of the eigenfunction corresponding to the positive eigenvalue λ_j decays exponentially. These eigenfunctions will play an important role in our secondary Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction(see Section 3 below).

Remark 1.1. It is easy to find that w is a solution of (1.4) if and only if $e^{iA_0\cdot x}w$ is a solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)^2 u + u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |u(x)| = 0, & |u(0)| = \max\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

from which and (f_3) we can deduce that (1.5) has a non-degenerate solution $e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w$, i.e.

$$ker\Big(-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)^2 - 1 + f'(w)\Big) = span\Big\{\frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w)}{\partial x_N}, \frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w)}{\partial\sigma}\Big\}.$$

In the sequel, the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is endowed with the standard norm

$$\|u\| = \Big(\int |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is induced by the inner product

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla v\rangle = \int (\nabla u \nabla v + uv).$$

Denote $\alpha = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$.

Our main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (A_1)-(A_4), (V_1)-(V_2) and (f_1)-(f_3) hold. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, problem (1.3) has infinitely many complex-valued solutions.*

In the following, we sketch the main idea in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We introduce some notations first. Let $\mu > 0$ be a real number such that $w(x) \le ce^{-|x|}$ for $|x| > \mu$ and some constant c independent of μ large. Now we define the configuration space

$$\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R}^N, \Omega_m := \Big\{\mathbf{Q}_m = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{mN} : \min_{k\neq j} |Q_k - Q_j| \ge \mu\Big\}, \forall m > 1.$$

Let w be the non-degenerate solution of (1.4) and $m \ge 1$ be an integer. Define the sum of m spikes as

$$w_{Q_j} = w(x - Q_j),\ \xi_j = e^{i\sigma + iA_0\cdot(x-Q_j)},\ z_{Q_j} = \xi_j w(x - Q_j) \text{ and } z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} = \sum_{j=1}^m z_{Q_j},$$

where $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Let the operator be

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = -\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 u - V_\epsilon(x)u + f(u).$$

Fixing $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m) = (\sigma, Q_1, \dots, Q_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$, we define the following functions as the approximate kernels:

$$D_{j,k} = \frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma + iA_0\cdot(x-Q_j)} w_{Q_j})}{\partial x_k}\eta_j(x), \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, N$$

and

$$D_{j,N+1} = \frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma + iA_0\cdot(x-Q_j)} w_{Q_j})}{\partial \sigma}\eta_j(x), j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\eta_j(x) = \eta(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1})$ and $\eta(t)$ is a cut off function, such that $\eta(t) = 1$ for $|t| \le 1$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ for $|t| \ge \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2-1}$. Note that the support of $D_{j,k}$ belongs to $B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)$.

Applying $z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}$as the approximate solution and performing the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, we can show that there exists a constant μ_0, such that for $\mu \ge \mu_0$, and $\epsilon < c_\mu$, for some constant c_μ depending on μ but independent of m and $\mathbf{Q}_m$, we can find a $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k} D_{j,k},$$

and we can show that $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is C^1 in $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m)$. This is done in Section 2.

After that, for any m, we define a new function

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m) = J(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}), \tag{1.6}$$

we maximize $\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m)$ over $[0, 2\pi] \times \bar{\Omega}_m$.

At the maximum point of $\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m)$, we show that $c_{j,k} = 0$ for all j, k. Therefore we prove that the corresponding $z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is a solution of (1.3). By the arguments before, we know that there exists μ_0 large such that $\mu \geq \mu_0$ and $\epsilon \leq c_\mu$ and for any m, there exists a spike solution to (1.3) with m spikes in Ω_m. Considering that m is arbitrary, then there exists infinitely many spikes solutions for $\epsilon < c_{\mu_0}$ independent of m.

There are three main difficulties in the maximization process. Firstly, we need to show that the maximum points will not go to infinity. Secondly, we have to detect the difference in the energy when the spikes move to the boundary of the configuration space. In the second step, we use the induction method and detect the difference of the m-th spikes energy and the (m+1)-th spikes energy. A crucial estimate is Lemma 3.2, where we prove that the accumulated error can be controlled from step m to step $m+1$. To this end, we make a secondary Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. This is done in Section 3. Compared with [1], since there is a magnetic filed in our problem, we have to overcome some new difficulties which involves many technical estimates.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we carry out Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. Then we perform a second Liapunov-Schmidt reduction in section 3. Finally, we prove our main result in section 4.

Notations:

1. We simply write $\int f$ to mean the Lebesgue integral of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.
2. The complex conjugate of any number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by $\bar{z}$.
3. The real part of a number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by Rez.
4. The ordinary inner product between two vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ will be denoted by $a \cdot b$.

Acknowledgements: This paper was partially supported by NSFC (No.11301204; No.11371159), self-determined research funds of CCNU from the colleges' basic research and operation of MOE (CCNU14A05036).

2. Finite-dimensional reduction

In this section, we perform a finite-dimensional reduction.

Let $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and we define

$$E(\cdot) := \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|\cdot - Q_j|}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{Q}_m \in \Omega_m. \tag{2.1}$$

Consider the norm

$$\|f\|_* = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |E(x)^{-1} f(x)|, \tag{2.2}$$

which was first introduced in [24] and also used in [1, 30]. Now we investigate

$$\begin{cases} L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) := -\Big(\dfrac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - V_\epsilon(x)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ = h + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k} D_{j,k}, \ in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ Re \displaystyle\int \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \bar{D}_{j,k} = 0 \ for\ j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, N+1. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Firstly, we give a result which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. *([12], Lemma 3.4) There exists a constant $C_N = 6^N$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and any $\boldsymbol{Q}_m = (Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{mN}$,*

$$\sharp\Big\{Q_j \Big| \frac{l}{2}\mu \leq |x - Q_j| < \frac{(l+1)}{2}\mu\Big\} \leq C_N (l+1)^{N-1} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Particularly, we have

$$\sharp\Big\{Q_j\Big|0 \le |x-Q_j| < \frac{\mu}{2}\Big\} \le C_N. \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let h with $\|h\|_*$ bounded and assume that $(\varphi_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}, c_{j,k})$ is a solution to (2.3). Then there exist positive numbers μ_0 and C, such that for all $0 < \epsilon < e^{-2\mu}$, $\mu > \mu_0$ and $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{Q}_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$, one has*

$$\|\varphi_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_* \le C\|h\|_*, \tag{2.6}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of μ, m and $\boldsymbol{Q}_m \in \Omega_m$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Assume that there exists a solution $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ to (2.3) and $\|h\|_* \to 0$, $\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* = 1$.

Multiplying the equation in (2.3) by $\bar{D}_{j,k}$ and integrating in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we get

$$Re\int L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{D}_{j,k} = Re\int h\bar{D}_{j,k} + c_{j,k}\int |D_{j,k}|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Considering the exponential decay at infinity of $\frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x_k}$ and the definition of $D_{j,k}(k = 1, \dots, N+1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |D_{j,k}|^2 &= \int \Big|(iA_{0,k}z_{Q_j} + \frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\xi_j)\eta_j\Big|^2 \\
&= \int A_{0,k}^2 w_{Q_j}^2 \eta^2\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big) + \int \Big(\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big)^2 \eta^2\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big) \\
&= A_{0,k}^2\int w^2 + A_{0,k}^2\int_{B^C_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(0)}\Big[\eta^2\Big(\frac{2|x|}{\mu-1}\Big) - 1\Big]w^2 \\
&\quad + \int \Big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k}\Big)^2 + \int_{B^C_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(0)}\Big[\eta^2\Big(\frac{2|x|}{\mu-1}\Big) - 1\Big)\Big]\Big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k}\Big)^2 \\
&= A_{0,k}^2\int w^2 + \int \Big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k}\Big)^2 + O(e^{-\mu}),\ as\ \mu \to +\infty,\ k = 1, 2, \dots, N
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |D_{j,N+1}|^2 &= \int \Big|iz_{Q_j}\eta\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big)\Big|^2 = \int \Big|w_{Q_j}\eta\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big)\Big|^2 \\
&= \int w^2 + \int_{B^C_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(0)}\Big[\eta^2\Big(\frac{2|x|}{\mu-1}\Big) - 1\Big]w^2 = \int w^2 + O(e^{-\mu}),\ as\ \mu \to +\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|Re\int h\bar{D}_{j,k}\Big| &= \Big|Re\int h(-iA_{0,k}\bar{z}_{Q_j} + \frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\bar{\xi}_j)\eta_j\Big| \\
&\le \int |h||A_{0,k}|w_{Q_j}|\eta_j| + \int |h|\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big||\eta_j| \\
&\le C\|h\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)} |A_{0,k}|\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w(x-Q_j)\Big|\eta\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big)\Big| \\
&\quad + C\|h\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)} \sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big|\frac{\partial w(x-Q_j)}{\partial x_k}\Big|\Big|\eta\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big)\Big|
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\le C\|h\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w(x-Q_j) + C\|h\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big|\frac{\partial w(x-Q_j)}{\partial x_k}\Big|$$

$$\le C\|h\|_*\int_0^{\frac{\mu}{2}} e^{-(1+\gamma)t}t^{N-1}dt \le C\|h\|_*, \qquad k=1,2,\dots,N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|Re\int h\bar{D}_{j,N+1}\Big| &\le \int |h||\bar{D}_{j,N+1}| \le \int |h||iz_{Q_j}\eta_j| \\ &\le C\|h\|_*\int\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w(x-Q_j)\eta\Big(\frac{2|x-Q_j|}{\mu-1}\Big) \\ &\le C\|h\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w(x-Q_j) \\ &\le C\|h\|_*\int_0^{\frac{\mu}{2}} e^{-(1+\gamma)t}t^{N-1}dt \le C\|h\|_*.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Here and in what follows, C stands for a positive constant independent of ϵ and μ, as $\epsilon\to 0$. Now if we write $\widetilde{D}_{j,k}=\frac{\partial(e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot(x-Q_j)}w_{Q_j})}{\partial x_k}$, then we have

(2.12)

$$\begin{aligned}&Re\int L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{D}_{j,k} = Re\int L(D_{j,k})\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &= Re\int\Big[-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - V_\epsilon(x)D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big] \\ &= Re\int\Big[-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2 D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + f'(z_{Q_j})D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big] \\ &\quad -Re\int\epsilon\tilde{V}D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + Re\int[f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f'(z_{Q_j})]D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\quad +Re\int\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde{A} - 2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A} - \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2\Big)D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + Re\int\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla D_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\le Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\Big[-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2\widetilde{D}_{j,k} - \widetilde{D}_{j,k} + f'(z_{Q_j})\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Big]\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\quad +Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)\setminus B_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(Q_j)}\Big[\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Delta\eta_j + 2\nabla\eta_j\cdot\nabla\widetilde{D}_{j,k} + \frac{2}{i}A_0\cdot\nabla\eta_j\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Big]\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\quad -Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\epsilon\tilde{V}\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}[f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f'(z_{Q_j})]\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\quad +Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde{A} - 2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A} - \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2\Big)\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &\quad +Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla\eta_j\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2\widetilde{D}_{j,k} - \widetilde{D}_{j,k} + f'(z_{Q_j})\widetilde{D}_{j,k} = 0,$$

we have

$$Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\Big[-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2\widetilde{D}_{j,k}-\widetilde{D}_{j,k}+f'(z_{Q_j})\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Big]\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}=0. \tag{2.13}$$

Moreover, by Lemma A.1 we have

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)\setminus B_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(Q_j)}\Big(\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Delta\eta_j+2\nabla\eta_j\cdot\nabla\widetilde{D}_{j,k}+\frac{2}{i}A_0\cdot\nabla\eta_j\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\Big)\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)\setminus B_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}(Q_j)}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|+w_{Q_j}+\Big|\nabla w_{Q_j}\Big|+\Big|\nabla\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|\Big)\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}^{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}e^{-(1+\gamma)s}s^{N-1}ds\le Ce^{-(1+\beta)\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\beta>0$.

Observing that

$$\Big|f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f'(z_{Q_j})\Big|\le C\Big|\sum_{k\neq j}z_{Q_k}\Big|^{\delta},$$

by (f_1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}(f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f'(z_{Q_j}))\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\Big|\sum_{k\neq j}z_{Q_k}\Big|^{\delta}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|+w_{Q_j}\Big)\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\sum_{k\neq j}|w_{Q_k}|^{\delta}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|+w_{Q_j}\Big) \qquad (2.15)\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|+w_{Q_j}\Big)\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\mu}\int_0^{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}e^{-(1+\gamma)s}s^{N-1}ds\le Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\epsilon\tilde{V}\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\le\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{V}||\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\\
&\le Ce^{-2\mu}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{V}||\widetilde{D}_{j,k}|\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\\
&\le Ce^{-2\mu}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial w_{Q_j}}{\partial x_k}\Big|+w_{Q_j}\Big)\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|} \qquad (2.16)\\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\mu}\int_0^{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}e^{-(1+\gamma)s}s^{N-1}ds\le Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde{A}\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\leq C\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\leq Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A}\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\leq C\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\leq Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\leq C\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\leq Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla\eta_j\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\leq C\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\leq Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\Big|Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla\widetilde{D}_{j,k}\eta_j\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big|\leq C\epsilon\int_{B_{\frac{\mu^2}{2(\mu+1)}}(Q_j)}|\nabla\widetilde{D}_{j,k}||\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|\leq Ce^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*, \tag{2.21}$$

for some $\beta>0$.

It follows from (2.7) to (2.21) that

$$|c_{j,k}|\leq C(e^{-\beta\frac{\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*+\|h\|_*). \tag{2.22}$$

Let now $\theta\in(0,1)$. It is easy to check that the function $E(x)$ in (2.1) satisfies

$$|-L(E(x))|\geq\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta^2)E(x),\ in\ \mathbb{R}^N\setminus\bigcup_{j=1}^m B_{\bar{\mu}}(Q_j) \tag{2.23}$$

provided $\bar{\mu}$ is large enough and $\bar{\mu}\leq\frac{\mu}{2}$. Indeed, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\mathbf{Q}_m} &\leq \sum_{|x-Q_j|<\frac{1}{2}\mu}w(x-Q_j)+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\frac{l}{2}\mu\leq|x-Q_j|<\frac{l+1}{2}\mu}w(x-Q_j)\\ &\leq Cw(\bar{\mu})+C\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}l^{N-1}e^{-\frac{l}{2}\mu}\leq Cw(\bar{\mu}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})|\leq C(w_{\mathbf{Q}_m})^{\delta}\leq Cw^{\delta}(\bar{\mu})\leq\frac{1-\theta^2}{4},\ \text{ in }\ \mathbb{R}^N\setminus\cup_{j=1}^m B_{\bar{\mu}}(Q_j). \tag{2.24}$$

From (2.24) and direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |-L(E(x))| &= \Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2E(x)+V_\epsilon E(x)-f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})E(x)\Big|\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m\Big|-\gamma^2+1+|A_0|^2+\gamma\frac{(N-1)-2iA_\epsilon\cdot(1,1,...,1)}{|x-Q_j|}\\ &\qquad +\epsilon(\tilde{V}(x)+2A_0\cdot\tilde{A}+idiv\tilde{A}+\epsilon|\tilde{A}|^2)-f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\Big|e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\theta^2)E(x),\ \text{ in }\ \mathbb{R}^N\setminus\cup_{j=1}^m B_{\bar{\mu}}(Q_j),\end{aligned}$$

which yields that (2.23) is true.

Hence the function $E(x)$ can be used as a barrier to prove the pointwise estimate

$$|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}(x)| \le C\big(\|L\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* + \sup_j \|\varphi_{\mathbf{Q}_m}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{\bar\mu}(Q_j))}\big)E(x), \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_{\bar\mu}(Q_j)$.

Now we prove it by contradiction. We assume that there exist a sequence of ϵ tending to 0, μ tending to ∞ and a sequence of solutions of (2.3) for which the inequality is not true. The problem being linear, we can reduce to the case where we have a sequence $\epsilon^{(n)}$ tending to 0, $\mu^{(n)}$ tending to ∞ and sequences $h^{(n)}, \varphi^{(n)}, c_{j,k}^{(n)}$ such that

$$\|h^{(n)}\| \to 0 \text{ and } \|\varphi^{(n)}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* = 1.$$

By (2.22),we have

$$\Big\|\sum_{jk} c_{jk}^{(n)} D_{jk}\Big\|_* \to 0.$$

Then (2.25) implies that there exists $Q_j^{(n)} \in \Omega_m$ such that

$$\|\varphi_{\mathbf{Q}_m^{(n)}}\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{\bar\mu}{2}}(Q_j^{(n)}))} \ge C \tag{2.26}$$

for some fixed constant $C > 0$.

Applying elliptic estimates together with Ascoli-Arzela's theorem, we can find a sequence $Q_j^{(n)}$ and we can extract, from the sequence $\varphi^{(n)}(\cdot - Q_j^{(n)})$ a subsequence which will converge to φ^∞ a solution of

$$\Big[-\big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\big)^2 - 1 + f'(e^{i\sigma + iA_0\cdot x}w)\Big]\varphi^\infty = 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which is bounded by a constant times $e^{-\gamma|x|}$, with $\gamma > 0$. Moreover, recall that $\varphi^{(n)}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}$ satisfies the orthogonality conditions in (2.3). Therefore, the limit function φ^∞ also satisfies

$$Re\int \varphi^\infty \overline{\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_j}} = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, N, \text{ and } Re\int \varphi^\infty \overline{\frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma}} = 0,$$

where $z = e^{i\sigma + iA_0\cdot x}w(x)$.

Then we have that $\varphi^\infty \equiv 0$ which contradicts to (2.26). □

From Lemma 2.2, we can obtain the following result

Proposition 2.3. Then there exist positive numbers $\gamma \in (0,1)$, $\mu_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that for all $0 < \epsilon < e^{-2\mu}, \mu > \mu_0$ and for any given h with $\|h\|_*$ norm bounded, there is a unique solution $(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}, c_{j,k})$ to problem (2.3). Moreover,

$$\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* \le C\|h\|_*. \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. Here we consider the space

$$\mathcal{H} = \Big\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : Re\int u\bar D_{j,k} = 0, (\mathbf{Q}_m, \sigma) \in \Omega_m \times [0, 2\pi]\Big\}.$$

Problem (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + \mathcal{K}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) = \bar h,\ in\ \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.28}$$

where $\bar h$ is defined by duality and $\mathcal{K} : H \to H$ is a linear compact operator. By Fredholm's alternative, we know that the equation (2.28) has a unique solution for $\bar h = 0$ which in turn follows from Lemma 2.2. The estimate (2.27) follows from directly from (2.6) in Lemma 2.2. The proof is complete. □

In the sequel, if $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is the unique solution given by Proposition 2.3, we denote

$$\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} = \mathcal{A}(h). \tag{2.29}$$

By (2.27), we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}(h)\|_* \le C\|h\|_*. \tag{2.30}$$

Now, we consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Big(\dfrac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - V_\epsilon(x)(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) + f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) \\ = \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k}D_{j,k},\ in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ Re\displaystyle\int \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{D}_{j,k} = 0\ for\ j = 1,\dots,m, k = 1,\dots,N+1. \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

We come to the main result in this section.

Proposition 2.4. Given $\gamma \in (0,1)$. There exist positive numbers μ_0, C *and* $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\mu > \mu_0$, and for any $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$ and $\epsilon < e^{-2\mu}$, there is a unique solution $(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}, c_{j,k})$ to problem (2.31). Furthermore, $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is C^1 in $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m)$ and we have

$$\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* \le Ce^{-\beta\mu},\ |c_{j,k}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}. \tag{2.32}$$

Note that the first equation in (2.31) can be rewritten as

$$L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) = -\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) + \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k}D_{j,k}, \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) = -\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - V_\epsilon(x)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) = -\big[f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\big]. \tag{2.35}$$

In order to use the contraction mapping theorem to prove that (2.33) is uniquely solvable in the set that $\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*$ is small, we need to estimate $\|\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_*$ and $\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_*$ respectively.

Lemma 2.5. *Given $\gamma \in (0,1)$. For μ large enough, and any $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{Q}_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$, $\epsilon < e^{-2\mu}$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{S}(z_{\boldsymbol{Q}_m})\|_* \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}, \tag{2.36}$$

for some constant $\beta > 0$ and C independent of μ, m, $\boldsymbol{Q}_m$ and σ.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) &= -\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - V_\epsilon(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) \\ &= -\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - \epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{i} div\tilde{A}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - 2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A} z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &= -\epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(z_{Q_j}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{i} div\tilde{A}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \frac{2\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - 2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A} z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} - \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} \\ &= -\epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(z_{Q_j}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{i} div\tilde{A}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \frac{2\epsilon}{i}\sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_j} - \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

By (2.5) and (2.6) of section 2.1 in [1], it follows from that

$$\Big|f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(z_{Q_j})\Big| = |e^{i\sigma}|\Big|f(w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(w_{Q_j})\Big| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|} \tag{2.38}$$

for a proper choice of $\beta > 0$.

Moreover, by the assumption of ϵ, we can prove that

$$|\epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|} \tag{2.39}$$

for some $\beta > 0$. In fact, on one hand, fix $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and consider the region $|x - Q_j| \le \frac{\mu}{2}$. In this region, we have

$$|\epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}| \le Ce^{-2\mu} \le Ce^{-\mu}e^{-2|x-Q_j|} \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_j e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}.$$

On the other hand, considering the region $|x - Q_j| > \frac{\mu}{2}$ for all j, we have

$$|\epsilon\tilde{V}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}| \le Ce^{-2\mu}|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}.$$

By the same arguments with (2.39), we can prove

$$\Big|\frac{\epsilon}{i} div\tilde{A}(x) z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}, \tag{2.40}$$

$$\Big|\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_j}\Big| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|} \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$\Big|\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}. \tag{2.42}$$

It follows from (2.37) to (2.42) that

$$\|\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}$$

for some $\beta > 0$ independent of μ, m and $\mathbf{Q}_m$. □

Lemma 2.6. *For any $\boldsymbol{Q}_m \in \Omega_m$ satisfying $\|\varphi_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_* \le 1$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m})\|_* \le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_*^{1+\delta} \tag{2.43}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi^2_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m})\|_* \le C(\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta} + \|\varphi^2_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta})\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\boldsymbol{Q}_m}\|_*. \tag{2.44}$$

Proof. By direct computation and applying the mean-value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})| &= \big|f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\big| \\
&= \big|f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \vartheta\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\big| \\
&\le C|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|^{1+\delta} \le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{1+\delta}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big)^{1+\delta} \\
&\le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{1+\delta}\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{N}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})| \\
&= \big|f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} + f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\big| \\
&= \big|f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \vartheta(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}))(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\big| \\
&\le C(|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|^{\delta} + |\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}|^{\delta})|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}| \\
&\le C(\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta} + \|\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta})\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big)^{1+\delta} \\
&\le C(\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta} + \|\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta})\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

From (2.45) and (2.46), we can have

$$\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{1+\delta}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \le C(\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta} + \|\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta})\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*.$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove Proposition 2.4.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We will use the contraction theorem to prove it. Observe that $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ solves (2.31) if and only if

$$\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} = \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) + \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})) \tag{2.47}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the operator introduced in (2.29). In other words, $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ solves (2.31) if and only if $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is a fixed point for the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) := \mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) + \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B} = \Big\{\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}) : \|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* \le e^{-(\beta-\tau)\mu},\ Re\int \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{D}_{j,k} = 0\Big\},$$

where $\tau > 0$ small enough. We will prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction mapping from $\mathcal{B}$ to itself. On one hand, for any $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \in \mathcal{B}$, it follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{T}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \leq C\| - \mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}) + \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \\ \leq\ & Ce^{-\beta\mu} + C\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{1+\delta} \leq Ce^{-\beta\mu} + Ce^{-(1+\delta)(\beta-\tau)\mu} \leq e^{-(\beta-\tau)\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking $\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ and $\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, by Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{T}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \mathcal{T}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \leq C\|\mathcal{N}(\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \\ \leq\ & C(\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta} + \|\varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*^{\delta})\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi^1_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} - \varphi^2_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the contraction mapping theorem, for any $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$, there exists a unique $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that (2.47) holds. So

$$\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* = \|\mathcal{T}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* \leq Ce^{-\beta\mu}.$$

Now we need to prove that $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is 2π-periodic with respect to σ. Replacing σ by $\sigma + 2\pi$ in the above reduction process, we get $\varphi_{\sigma+2\pi,\mathbf{Q}_m}$. Since $z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is 2π-periodic, by the uniqueness of $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$, we see $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m} = \varphi_{\sigma+2\pi,\mathbf{Q}_m}$.

Combining (2.22), (2.36), (2.43) and (2.44) we have

$$|c_{j,k}| \leq C(e^{-\frac{\beta\mu}{2}}\|\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\|_* + \|\mathcal{S}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_* + \|\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\|_*) \leq Ce^{-\beta\mu}.$$

□

3. A secondary Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

In this section, we present a key estimate on the difference between the solutions in the m-th step and (m+1)-th step. This second Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction has been used in the paper [1, 23, 29]. For $(\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_m) \in [0, 2\pi] \times \Omega_m$, we denote $u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}$ as $z_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$, where $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ is the unique solution given by Proposition 2.4. The main estimate below states that the difference between $u_{\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}}$ and $u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}$ is small globally in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ norm.

For this purpose, we now write

$$u_{\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}} = u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1} =: \bar{u} + \phi_{m+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

By Proposition 2.4, we can easily obtain that

$$\|\phi_{m+1}\|_* \leq Ce^{-\beta\mu}. \tag{3.2}$$

However the estimate (3.2) is not sufficient. We need a crucial estimate for ϕ_{m+1} which will be given later. (In the following we will always assume that $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$) In order to obtain the crucial estimate, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *(Lemma 2.3, [4]) For $|Q_j - Q_k| \geq \mu$ large, it holds that*

$$\int f(w(x - Q_j))w(x - Q_k)dx = (\vartheta + e^{-\beta\mu})w(|Q_j - Q_k|) \tag{3.3}$$

for some $\beta > 0$ independent of large μ and

$$\vartheta = \int f(w)e^{-x_1}dx > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ, ϵ be as in Proposition 2.4. Then it holds*

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
&\le C\Big[\epsilon\int|\tilde V(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|+2\epsilon\int|\tilde A(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon\int|divA(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\\
&\quad+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde A(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|+e^{-\beta\mu}\big(\sum_{j=1}^m w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\big)^{\frac12}+\epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde V(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\quad+\epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde A(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac12}+\epsilon\Big(\int|divA(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac12}+\epsilon^2\Big(\int|\tilde A(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C>0$, $\beta>0$ independent of μ, m, γ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{m+1}\in\Omega_{m+1}$.

Proof. To prove (3.5), we need to perform a further decomposition.

As we mentioned before, the following eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta\varphi-\varphi+f'(w)\varphi=\lambda\varphi,\quad \varphi\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

admits the following set of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1>\lambda_2>\ldots>\lambda_n>\lambda_{n+1}=0>\lambda_{n+2}\ldots.$$

We denote the eigenfunctions corresponding to the positive eigenvalues λ_j as φ_j, $j=1,\ldots,n$.

Now, we have the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(k=1,\ldots,n)$ with eigenfunction $\tilde\varphi_{0,k}=e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}\varphi_k$ of the following linearized operator

$$-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)^2\varphi-\varphi+f'(w)\varphi=\lambda\varphi. \tag{3.6}$$

We fix $\tilde\varphi_{0,k}$ such that $\max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}|\tilde\varphi_{0,k}|=1$. Denote by $\tilde\varphi_{j,k}=\eta_j\tilde\varphi_{0,k}(x-Q_j)$, where η_j is the cut-off function introduced in section 1.

By the equations satisfied by ϕ_{m+1}, we have

$$\bar L\phi_{m+1}=-\bar{\mathcal{S}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k} \tag{3.7}$$

for some constants $c_{j,k}$, where

$$\bar L=-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2-V_\epsilon(x)+f'(\tilde u),$$

where

$$f'(\tilde u)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{f(\bar u+\phi_{m+1})-f(\bar u)}{\phi_{m+1}}, & \text{if } \phi_{m+1}\neq0,\\ f'(\bar u), & \text{if } \phi_{m+1}=0,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{S}}&=f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}-z_{Q_{m+1}})-f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-(1+\epsilon\tilde V(x))z_{Q_{m+1}}-\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)^2z_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&=f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}-z_{Q_{m+1}})-f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f(z_{Q_{m+1}})-\epsilon\tilde V(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}+\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde A(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+2\frac{\epsilon}{i}\tilde A(x)\cdot\nabla z_{Q_{m+1}}-2\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde Az_{Q_{m+1}}-\epsilon^2|\tilde A(x)|^2z_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&=f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}-z_{Q_{m+1}})-f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f(z_{Q_{m+1}})-\epsilon\tilde V(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}+\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde A(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+2\frac{\epsilon}{i}\xi_j\tilde A(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}-\epsilon^2|\tilde A(x)|^2z_{Q_{m+1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now we proceed the proof into a few steps.

First we estimate the L^2-norm of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$. By the estimate in Proposition 2.4, we have the following estimate

$$\int |f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}})-f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-f(z_{Q_{m+1}})|^2 \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|). \tag{3.9}$$

We also have

$$\int |\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 \le C\epsilon^2\int \tilde{V}(x)^2 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2,$$
$$\int \left|\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde{A}z_{Q_{m+1}}\right|^2 \le C\epsilon^2\int |div\tilde{A}|^2 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2,$$
$$\int \left|2\frac{\epsilon}{i}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}\right|^2 \le C\epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2$$

and

$$\int \left|\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{Q_{m+1}}\right|^2 \le C\epsilon^4\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^4 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from (3.8) to (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad &\le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)+C\epsilon^2\int \tilde{V}(x)^2 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2 + C\epsilon^2\int |div\tilde{A}|^2 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2 \\ &+C\epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + C\epsilon^4\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^4 w_{Q_{m+1}}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By the estimate (3.2), we have the following estimate

$$\left|\tilde{u}-\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} z(x-Q_j)\right| = O(e^{-\beta\mu}). \tag{3.12}$$

Decompose ϕ_{m+1} as

$$\phi_{m+1} = \psi + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n} g_{j,l}\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l} + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} d_{j,k}D_{j,k} \tag{3.13}$$

for some $g_{j,l}$, $d_{j,k}$ such that

$$Re\int \psi\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l} = Re\int \psi\bar{D}_{j,k} = 0, j = 1,\dots,m+1, k = 1,\dots,N+1, l = 1,\dots,n. \tag{3.14}$$

Since

$$\phi_{m+1} = \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}} - \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}, \tag{3.15}$$

we have for $j = 1,\dots,m$,

$$d_{j,k} = Re\int \phi_{m+1}\bar{D}_{j,k} = Re\int (\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}} - \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{D}_{j,k} = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$d_{m+1,k} = Re\int \phi_{m+1}\bar{D}_{m+1,k} = Re\int (\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}} - \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{D}_{m+1,k} = -Re\int \varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{D}_{m+1,k}, \tag{3.17}$$

where we use the orthogonality conditions satisfied by $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}}$. Hence by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$d_{j,k} = 0,\ for\ j = 1,\dots,m,\ \text{ and }\ |d_{m+1,k}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.13), we can rewrite (3.7) as

$$\bar{L}(\psi)+\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n} g_{j,l}\bar{L}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l})+\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} d_{j,k}\bar{L}(D_{j,k})=-\bar{\mathcal{S}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k}D_{j,k}. \tag{3.19}$$

In order to estimate the coefficients $g_{j,l}$, we use the equation (3.19). First, multiplying (3.19) by $\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\ g_{j,l}\int \bar{L}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l})\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} Re\ d_{j,k}\int \bar{L}(D_{j,k})\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}-\sum_{k\neq l} Re\ g_{j,k}\int \bar{L}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k})\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}-Re\int \bar{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\\ &\quad -Re\int \bar{L}(\psi)\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Big|Re\int \bar{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big|\le Ce^{-\beta\mu}e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}+\epsilon\Big|Re\int \tilde{V}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big|+2\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big|\\ \qquad +\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}div\tilde{A}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big|+\epsilon^2\Big|Re\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big|,\ j=1,\dots,m,\\ \Big|Re\int \bar{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big|\le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}+\epsilon\Big|Re\int \tilde{V}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big|\\ \qquad +2\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big|+\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}div\tilde{A}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big|\\ \qquad +\epsilon^2\Big|Re\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big|. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l}$, we have

$$\bar{L}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k})=\lambda_k\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}+O(e^{-\beta\mu}),$$

thus one has

$$Re\int \bar{L}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k})\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}=-\delta_{k,l}\lambda_k\int \tilde{\varphi}_{0,l}\tilde{\varphi}_{0,k}+O(e^{-\beta\mu}). \tag{3.22}$$

Recall the definition of φ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\int \bar{L}(\psi)\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l} &= -\ Re\int \psi\bar{\bar{L}}(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,l})=-\lambda_l\int \tilde{\varphi}_{j,l}\psi+O(e^{-\beta\mu})\|\psi\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j))}\\ &= O(e^{-\beta\mu})\|\psi\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j))}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.18), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22), and the orthogonal conditions satisfied by ψ

$$
\begin{cases}
|g_{j,l}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|} + \epsilon\Big|Re\int \tilde{V}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big| + 2\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big| \\
\qquad +\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}div\tilde{A}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big| + \epsilon^2\Big|Re\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{j,l}\Big| \\
\qquad +e^{-\beta\mu}\|\psi\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j))},\ j=1,\dots,m, \\
|g_{m+1,l}| \le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|} + \epsilon\Big|Re\int \tilde{V}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big| \\
\qquad +2\epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big| + \epsilon\Big|Re\int \frac{1}{i}div\tilde{A}(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big| \\
\qquad +\epsilon^2\Big|Re\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{m+1,l}\Big| + e^{-\beta\mu}\|\psi\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_{m+1}))}.
\end{cases} \tag{3.23}
$$

Next, we estimate ψ. Multiplying (3.19) by ψ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$, we find

$$
Re\int \bar{L}(\psi)\bar{\psi} \quad = -Re\int \bar{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\psi} - \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} d_{j,k}Re\int \bar{L}(D_{j,k})\bar{\psi} - \sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\sum_{l=1}^{n} g_{j,l}Re\int \bar{L}(\varphi_{j,l})\bar{\psi}. \tag{3.24}
$$

We claim that

$$
Re\int(-\bar{L}(\psi)\bar{\psi}) \ge c_0\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \tag{3.25}
$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$.

Since the approximate solution is exponentially decay away from the points Q_j , we have

$$
Re\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\cup_j B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}(-\bar{L}(\psi)\bar{\psi}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\cup_j B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}(|\nabla\psi|^2+|\psi|^2). \tag{3.26}
$$

Now we only need to prove the above estimates in the domain $\cup_j B_{\frac{\mu}{2}(Q_j)}$. We prove it by contradiction. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $\mu_n\to\infty$, and $Q_j^{(n)}$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{\frac{\mu_n}{2}}(Q_j^{(n)})}(|\nabla\psi_n|^2+|\psi_n|^2) = 1,\ Re\int_{B_{\frac{\mu_n}{2}}(Q_j^{(n)})}(-\bar{L}(\psi_n)\bar{\psi_n}) \to 0,\ as\ n\to\infty.
$$

Then we can extract from the sequence $\psi_n(\cdot - Q_j^{(n)})$ a subsequence which will converge weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to ψ_∞, and $\mu_n\to\infty$, we have

$$
\int\Big|(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0)\psi_\infty\Big|^2 + |\psi_\infty|^2 - f'(e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w)\psi_\infty^2 = 0 \tag{3.27}
$$

and

$$
Re\int \psi_\infty\bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{0,l} = Re\int \psi_\infty\frac{\partial(\overline{e^{i\sigma+iA_0\cdot x}w})}{\partial x_j} = 0,\quad j=1,\dots,N, l=1,\dots,n. \tag{3.28}
$$

It follows from (3.27) and (3.28) that $\psi_\infty = 0$. Therefore

$$
\psi_n \rightharpoonup 0\ weakly\ in\ H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.29}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\int_{B_{\frac{\mu_n}{2}}(Q_j^{(n)})} f'(\tilde{u})\psi_n^2 \to 0,\ as\ n\to\infty. \tag{3.30}
$$

Then

$$
\|\psi_n\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu_n}{2}}(Q_j^{(n)}))} \to 0,\ as\ n\to\infty,
$$

which contradicts to the assumption $\|\psi_n\|_{H^1}=1$. Therefore (3.25) holds.

It follows from (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
&\le C\Big(\sum_{j,k}|d_{j,k}|\Big|Re\int\bar{L}(D_{j,k})\bar{\psi}\Big|+\sum_{j,l}|g_{j,l}|\Big|Re\int\bar{L}(\varphi_{j,l})\bar{\psi}\Big|+\Big|Re\int\bar{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\psi}\Big|\Big)\\
&\le C\Big(\sum_{j,k}|d_{j,k}|\|\psi\|_{H^1}+\sum_{j,l}|g_{j,l}|\|\psi\|_{H^1(B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j))}+\|\bar{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{H^1}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

By (3.23) and (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad &\le C\Big(\sum_{j,k}|d_{jk}|+e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}+\|\bar{\mathcal{S}}\|_{L^2}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\\
&\quad+2\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

From (3.11), (3.18) and (3.32), recalling that $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, we get

(3.33)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
&\le C\Big[e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|+2\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|\\
&\quad+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|\\
&\quad+e^{-\beta\mu}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\big(\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\big(\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon^2\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Since we choose $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, by the definition of the configuration space, we have

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|Q_j-Q_{m+1}|}\Big)^2\le C\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|).\tag{3.34}$$

It follows from (3.33) and (3.34) that

(3.35)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
&\le C\Big[\epsilon\int|\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|+2\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\\
&\quad+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|+e^{-\beta\mu}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\big(\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\big(\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon^2\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.5) holds.

Moreover, from the estimates (3.18) and (3.23), and taking into consideration that η_j is supposed in $B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)$, using the *Hölder* inequality, we can get a more accurate estimate on ϕ_{m+1},

(3.36)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\
&\le C\Big[\epsilon\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+2\epsilon\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+e^{-\beta\mu}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon^2\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon\Big(\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Proof of the main result

In this section, first we study a maximization problem. Then we prove our main result.

Fix $(\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m)\in[0,2\pi]\times\Omega_m$, we define a new functional

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m)=J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})=J(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}):[0,2\pi]\times\Omega_m\to\mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since both $z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$ are both 2π-periodic respect to σ, we only need to consider the maximum problem of $\mathcal{M}(\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m)$ respect to $\mathbf{Q}_m$ in Ω_m. So in the sequel, for simplicity we denote $\mathcal{M}(\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m)$ as $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m)$.

Define

$$\mathcal{C}_m=\sup_{\mathbf{Q}_m\in\Omega_m}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m) \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m)$ is continuous in $\mathbf{Q}_m$. We will show below that the maximization problem has a solution. Let $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m)$ be the maximum where $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m=(\bar{Q}_1,\dots,\bar{Q}_m)\in\bar{\Omega}_m$ that is

$$\mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m)=\max_{\mathbf{Q}_m\in\Omega_m}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m) \tag{4.3}$$

and we denote the solution by $u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m}$.

First we prove that the maximum can be attained at finite points for each $\mathcal{C}_m$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let assumptions $(A1)-(A4)$, $(V1)-(V2)$ and the assumptions in Proposition 2.4 be satisfied. Then, for all m:*
(i)There exists $\boldsymbol{Q}_m\in\Omega_m$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_m=\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{Q}_m); \tag{4.4}$$

(ii) There holds

$$\mathcal{C}_{m+1}>\mathcal{C}_m+I(z), \tag{4.5}$$

where I(z) is the energy of the solution z of (1.5)*:*

$$I(z)=\frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z\Big|^2+|z|^2-\int F(z) \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into the following two steps.

Step 1: $\mathcal{C}_1 > I(z)$, and $\mathcal{C}_1$ can be attained at a finite point. First applying standard Liapnunov-Schmidt reduction, we have

$$\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1} \le C\|\epsilon\tilde{V}z_Q\|_{L^2} + C\big\|\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2 z_Q\big\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Assuming that $|Q| \to \infty$, then we have

(4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u_Q) &= \frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)u_Q\Big|^2 + V_\epsilon(x)|u_Q|^2 - \int F(u_Q)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)z_Q\Big|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\Big|^2 + Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)z_Q\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2 + Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\\
&\quad - Re\int\varepsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla w_Q}{i}\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{z}_Q + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{z}_Q - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int|z_Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2 + Re\int z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)\big(|z_Q|^2 + |\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2 + 2Rez_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\big) - \int F(u_Q)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)z_Q\Big|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int|z_Q|^2 - \int F(z_Q) + \frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\Big|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\\
&\quad + \int F(z_Q) - \int F(u_Q) + Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)z_Q\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q}} + Re\int z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\\
&\quad + Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} + \frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2 + Re\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\\
&\quad - Re\int\varepsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla w_Q}{i}\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{z}_Q + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{z}_Q - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big)\\
&\ge I(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2 - C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2 - \delta\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2\\
&\ge I(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2\\
&\quad - \delta\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2 - \int\epsilon^2\tilde{V}^2(x)|w_Q|^2 - \int\epsilon^4|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2\\
&\ge I(z) + \frac{1}{8}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2 - \sup_{B_{\frac{|Q|}{4}}(0)}|w_Q|^{\frac{3}{2}}\int_{supp\tilde{V}^-}\epsilon|\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2|w_Q|^2 - \sup_{B_{\frac{|Q|}{4}}(0)}|w_Q|^{\frac{3}{2}}\int_{supp\tilde{A}^-}\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2 w_Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad - \sup_{B_{\frac{|Q|}{4}}(0)}|w_Q|^{\frac{3}{2}}\int_{supp\tilde{A}^-}\epsilon^2|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2 w_Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq I(z)+\frac{1}{8}\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2+\frac{1}{8}\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2|w_Q|^2-O(e^{-\frac{9}{8}|Q|}),$$

where we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_Q|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_Q|^2+Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}+Re\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\\
&-Re\int\varepsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla w_Q}{i}\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}+\frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{z}_Q+\frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}-A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{z}_Q-A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big)\\
&\leq\frac{\epsilon}{4}\int\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2+\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2-C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|^2_{H^1}-\delta\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_Q|^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

By the slow decay assumption on the potential $\tilde{V}(x)$ and $\tilde{A}(x)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{8}\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|w_Q|^2+\frac{1}{8}\int_{B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(Q)}\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2|w_Q|^2-O(e^{-\frac{9}{8}|Q|})>0,\ for\ |Q|\ large.$$

So

$$\mathcal{C}_1\geq J(u_Q)>I(z).\tag{4.10}$$

Now we will prove that $\mathcal{C}_1$ can be attained at a finite point. Let Q_j be a sequence such that $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}\mathcal{M}(Q_j)=\mathcal{C}_1$, and assume that $|Q_j|\to+\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u_{Q_j}) &=\frac{1}{2}\int\Big|(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x))u_{Q_j}\Big|^2+V_\epsilon(x)|u_{Q_j}|^2-\int F(u_{Q_j})\\
&=I(z)+\frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j}\Big|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int|\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j}|^2\\
&\quad+Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_j}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j}}+Re\int z_{Q_j}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q_j}-Re\int f(z_{Q_j})\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q_j}\\
&\quad-\int(F(u_{Q_j})-F(z_{Q_j}))+Re\int f(z_{Q_j})\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q_j}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int\tilde{V}(x)|u_{Q_j}|^2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|u_{Q_j}|^2\\
&\quad-Re\int\varepsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)(z_{Q_j}+\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j})\overline{(z_{Q_j}+\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j})}\\
&\leq I(z)+C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q_j}\|^2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int\tilde{V}(x)|u_{Q_j}|^2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|u_{Q_j}|^2\\
&\leq I(z)+O\Big(\int\epsilon^2\tilde{V}^2|z_{Q_j}|^2\Big)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int\tilde{V}(x)|u_{Q_j}|^2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|u_{Q_j}|^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Since $|\tilde{A}(x)|\to0$ and $\tilde{V}(x)\to0$ as $|x|\to\infty$, we have

$$O\Big(\int\epsilon^2\tilde{V}^2|z_{Q_j}|^2\Big)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int\tilde{V}(x)|u_{Q_j}|^2+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|u_{Q_j}|^2\to0,$$

so we have

$$\mathcal{C}_1=\lim_{j\to\infty}J(u_{Q_j})\leq I(z),$$

which contradicts to (4.10). Thus $\mathcal{C}_1$ can be attained at a finite point.

Step 2: Assume that there exists $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m=(\bar{Q}_1,\ldots,\bar{Q}_m)\in\Omega_m$ such that $\mathcal{C}_m=\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m)$ and we denote the solution by $u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m}$. Next we prove that there exists $\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}\in\Omega_{m+1}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{m+1}$ can be attained. Let $\mathbf{Q}^{(n)}_{m+1}$ be a sequence such that

$$\mathcal{C}_{m+1}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}^{(n)}_{m+1}).\tag{4.12}$$

We claim that $\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{(n)}$ is bounded. We prove it by contradiction. In the following we omit index n for simplicity. By direct computation, we have

(4.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}}) = J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int \Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1})\Big|^2 + V_\epsilon(x)|u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1}|^2 \\
&\quad - \int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1}) \\
&= J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}) + Re\int \Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)\phi_{m+1}} \\
&\quad + Re\int V_\epsilon(x)u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{\phi}_{m+1} - Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} + Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} - Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} \\
&\quad - \int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \phi_{m+1}) + \int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}) + Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} \\
&\quad + Re\int \Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)\phi_{m+1}} + \frac{1}{2}\int V_\epsilon(x)|\phi_{m+1}|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int \Big|(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x))\phi_{m+1}\Big|^2 + Re\int V_\epsilon(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\phi}_{m+1} \\
&= J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}) - \int \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{jk}D_{jk}\phi_{m+1} \\
&\quad + Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} - Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} + Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} \\
&\quad - \int f'(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}} + \vartheta\phi_{m+1})|\phi_{m+1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)\phi_{m+1}\Big|^2 \\
&\quad + Re\int \Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A_\epsilon(x)\Big)\phi_{m+1}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int V_\epsilon(x)|\phi_{m+1}|^2 + Re\int V_\epsilon(x)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{\phi}_{m+1} - Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\phi}_{m+1} \\
&= J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}) + O(\|\phi_{m+1}\|^2 + \|\bar{\mathcal{S}}(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}})\|\|\phi_{m+1}\|) - \int \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_{j,k}D_{j,k}\phi_{m+1} \\
&= J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m} + z_{Q_{m+1}}) + O\Big[e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m} w(|Q_{m+1} - Q_j|) + \epsilon^2\big(\int |\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\big)^2 \\
&\quad + \epsilon^4\big(\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|\big)^2 + \epsilon^2\big(\int |\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|\big)^2 + \epsilon^2\big(\int |div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\big)^2 \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \epsilon^2\int |div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 \\
&\quad + \epsilon^4\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}})\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\int\Big|\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}})\Big|^2+V_\epsilon(x)|u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2-\int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}})\\
&\le \mathcal{C}_m+\frac{1}{2}\int|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\Big|(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0)z_{Q_{m+1}}\Big|^2-\int F(z_{Q_{m+1}})\\
&\quad+Re\int(1+\epsilon\tilde{V}(x))u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}+Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x)\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}}\\
&\quad-\int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}})+\int F(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})+\int F(z_{Q_{m+1}})+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2-Re\int\epsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\le \mathcal{C}_m+I(z)+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\\
&\quad+Re\int\big(f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{jk}D_{j,k}\big)\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}-Re\int f(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad-Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{u}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+O\Big(e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\Big)\\
&\le \mathcal{C}_m+I(z)+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2-Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{u}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\\
&\quad-Re\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}+O\Big(e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

By estimate (2.32) in Proposition 2.4, and that the definition of $D_{j,k}$, we have

$$
\Big|Re\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\Big|\le Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|).
\tag{4.15}
$$

By the equation satisfied by $\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}$

$$
L(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})=-\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})+\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k},
\tag{4.16}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\\
&=Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}}+Re\int z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{\varphi}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&=Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}}+Re\int\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&=Re\int\Big(\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k}-\epsilon\tilde{V}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.17}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big)\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}+Re\int\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}}\\
&-Re\int(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x))\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_\epsilon(x))z_{Q_{m+1}}}\\
&=Re\int\Big(\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{N+1}c_{j,k}D_{j,k}-\epsilon\tilde{V}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\\
&+f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big)\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}+Re\int\epsilon\tilde{A}\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&+Re\int\epsilon\tilde{A}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}}-Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we can choose γ that $\gamma+\delta>1$, $(1+\delta)\gamma>1$. Then we can easily get

$$
\Big|Re\int(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})-f'(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\Big|\leq Ce^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \tag{4.18}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|Re\int(\mathcal{S}(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-\epsilon\tilde{V}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m})\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}+Re\int\epsilon\tilde{A}\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+Re\int\epsilon\tilde{A}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\Big(\frac{\nabla}{i}-A_0\Big)z_{Q_{m+1}}}-Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}|^2\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\Big|\\
&=\Big|Re\int\Big(f(z_{\mathbf{Q}_m})-\sum_{j=1}^{m}f(z_{Q_j})-\epsilon\tilde{V}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}-\epsilon\tilde{V}z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\\
&\quad+\frac{\epsilon}{i}div\tilde{A}(x)z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+\frac{2\epsilon}{i}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\xi_j\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_j}-\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2z_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big)\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+Re\int\frac{\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}-Re\int\epsilon A_0\cdot\tilde{A}(x)\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad-Re\int\frac{\epsilon}{i}\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\overline{\xi}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}-Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\varphi_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\bar{z}_{Q_{m+1}}\Big|\\
&\leq C\Big(\epsilon\int\tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}}+e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\\
&\quad+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}
$$

From (4.16) to (4.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}\Big| \\
&\le C\Big(\epsilon\int \tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}}+e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \\
&\quad+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} \\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}} \\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.20}
$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})\bar{u}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}=Re\int f(z_{Q_{m+1}})(\bar{z}_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,\mathbf{Q}_m}) \\
&\ge\frac{1}{4}\vartheta\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \\
&\quad+O\Big(\epsilon\int\tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}}+e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \\
&\quad+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} \\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}} \\
&\quad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

Combing (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}})=J(u_{\mathbf{Q}_m}+z_{Q_{m+1}}+\phi_{m+1}) \\
&\le\mathcal{C}_m+I(z)+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2-\frac{1}{4}\vartheta\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \\
&\quad+O\Big[\epsilon\int\tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}}+e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|) \\
&\qquad+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} \\
&\qquad+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.22}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|+\epsilon^2e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&+\epsilon^4\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|\Big)^2+\epsilon^2\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|\Big)^2+\epsilon^2\Big(\int|div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\Big)^2\\
&+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\\
&+\epsilon^4\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\epsilon^2\big(\int|\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}}|\big)^2\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that $|Q_{m+1}^{(n)}|\to+\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon\int\tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}\\
&+\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}+\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}\\
&+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}\\
&+\epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|+\epsilon^2e^{-\beta\mu}\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}\\
&+\epsilon^4\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|\big)^2+\epsilon^2\big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|\big)^2+\epsilon^2\big(\int|div\tilde{A}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|\big)^2\\
&+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|^2\\
&+\epsilon^4\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|^2+\epsilon^2\Big(\int|\tilde{V}(x)||w_{Q_{m+1}^{(n)}}|\Big)^2\to 0,\ as\ n\to+\infty
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.23}
$$

and

$$
-\frac{1}{4}\vartheta\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)+O\Big(e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m}w(|Q_{m+1}-Q_j|)\Big)<0. \tag{4.24}
$$

Combining (4.12), (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24), we have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{m+1}\le\mathcal{C}_m+I(z). \tag{4.25}
$$

On the other hand, since by the assumption, $\mathcal{C}_m$ can be attained at $(\bar{Q}_1,\dots,\bar{Q}_m)$, so there exists other point Q_{m+1} which is far away from the m points which be determined later. Next let's consider the solution concentrated at the points $(\bar{Q}_1,\dots,\bar{Q}_m,Q_{m+1})$, and we denote the solution by $u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m,Q_{m+1}}$, then similar with the above argument, applying the estimate (3.36) of ϕ_{m+1} instead of (3.5), we have the following estimate:

(4.26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J(u_{\mathbf{Q},Q_{m+1}})\\
&= J(u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m}) + I(z) + \frac{1}{2}\int \epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 - O\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m} w(|Q_{m+1}-\bar{Q}_j|)\Big)\\
&\quad + O\Big[\epsilon\int \tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon\int |div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon\int |\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int |div\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon^4\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\\
&\quad + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \epsilon^2\int |div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)\\
&\quad + O\Big(\epsilon^4\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2 + \epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2\\
&\quad + \epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2 + \epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

By the asymptotic behavior of V, A and ∇A at infinity, for some $\alpha < 1$, we choose $\gamma > \alpha$, then we can choose Q_{m+1} such that

$$
|Q_{m+1}| \gg \frac{\max_{j=1}^{m}|\bar{Q}|_j + \ln\epsilon}{\gamma-\alpha}, \tag{4.27}
$$

then we can get

(4.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int \epsilon\tilde{V}(x)|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2 - O\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m} w(|Q_{m+1}-\bar{Q}_j|)\Big)\\
&\quad + O\Big(\epsilon\int \tilde{V}w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}\tilde{V}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon^2 e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon\int |div\tilde{A}(x)|w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon\int |\tilde{A}(x)||\nabla w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}|w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon^2\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^2 w_{\mathbf{Q}_m}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}} + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}w_{Q_{m+1}}\\
&\quad + \epsilon e^{-\beta\mu}\int |\tilde{A}(x)|\sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}| + \epsilon^4\int |\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\epsilon^2\int|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)\\
&+O\Big[\epsilon^4\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^4|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2+\epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\nabla w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2\\
&+\epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|div\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2+\epsilon^2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\int_{B_{\frac{\mu}{2}}(Q_j)}|\tilde{V}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)^2\Big]\\
&\geq C\epsilon e^{-\alpha|Q_{m+1}|}-O\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m}e^{-\eta|\bar{Q}_j-Q_{m+1}|}\Big)>0.
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\mathcal{C}_{m+1}\geq J(u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m,Q_{m+1}})>\mathcal{C}_m+I(z). \tag{4.29}
$$

It follows from (4.25) and (4.29) that

$$
\mathcal{C}_m+I(z)<\mathcal{C}_{m+1}\leq\mathcal{C}_m+I(z), \tag{4.30}
$$

which is impossible. Hence we prove that $\mathcal{C}_{m+1}$ can be attained at finite points in Ω_{m+1}.

□

Now we are in position to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to prove our main result, we only need to prove that the maximization problem

$$
\max_{\mathbf{Q}_m\in\bar{\Omega}_m}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}_m) \tag{4.31}
$$

has a solution $\mathbf{Q}_m\in\Omega_m^o$, i.e., the interior of Ω_m.

We prove it by an indirect method. Assume that $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m=(\bar{Q}_1,\dots,\bar{Q}_m)\in\partial\Omega_m$. Then there exists (j,k) such that $|\bar{Q}_j-\bar{Q}_k|=\mu$. Without loss of generality, we assume $(j,k)=(j,m)$. Then following the estimates (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_m&=J(u_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_m})\\
&\leq\mathcal{C}_{m-1}+I(z)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\int\tilde{V}(x)|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|w_{Q_{m+1}}|^2\\
&\quad-\frac{\vartheta}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|)+O\Big(e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}e^{-|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|}\Big)+O(\epsilon)\\
&\leq\mathcal{C}_{m-1}+I(z)-\frac{\vartheta}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|)+O\Big(e^{-\beta\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}e^{-|\overline{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|}\Big)+O(\epsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}
$$

By the definition of the configuration set, we observe that given a ball of size μ, there are at most $C_N:=6^N$ number of non-overlapping ball of size μ surrounding this ball. Since $|\bar{Q}_j-\bar{Q}_k|=\mu$, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|)=w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_j|)+\sum_{k\neq j}w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_k|)
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\neq j} w(|\bar{Q}_m-\bar{Q}_k|) &\leq Ce^{-\mu}+C_Ne^{-\mu-\frac{\mu}{2}}+\ldots+C_N^ke^{-\mu-\frac{k\mu}{2}}\\ &\leq Ce^{-\mu}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}e^{j(\ln C_N-\frac{\mu}{2})}\leq Ce^{-\mu},\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

if $C_N<e^{\frac{\mu}{2}}$, which is true for μ large enough.

Hence, we have

$$C_m\leq C_{m-1}+I(z)+C\epsilon-\frac{\vartheta}{4}w(\mu)+O(e^{-(1+\beta)\mu})<C_{m-1}+I(z),\tag{4.34}$$

which contradicts to (4.5) in Lemma 4.1. □

Appendix A. Some technical estimates

In this section, we give some technical estimates which are used before.

Denote

$$\Lambda_j:=\Big\{x\Big||x-Q_j|\leq\frac{\mu}{2}\Big\},\ \ \Lambda=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m}\Lambda_j\ \text{ and }\ \Lambda^C=\mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Lambda.$$

Lemma A.1. *For any $x\in\Lambda_j(j=1,...,m)$ and $\gamma\in(0,1)$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_k|}\leq e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+Ce^{-\frac{\gamma\mu}{2}}.\tag{A.1}$$

For any $x\in\Lambda^c$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_k|}\leq Ce^{-\frac{\gamma\mu}{2}}.\tag{A.2}$$

Proof. Note that given a ball of size μ, there are at most $C_N:=6^N$ number of non-overlapping ball of size μ surrounding this ball. Since $|x-Q_j|\leq\frac{\mu}{2}$, we have

$$|x-Q_k|\geq|Q_k-Q_j|-|x-Q_j|\geq\frac{\mu}{2}\ \text{ for all }\ k\neq j.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{m}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_k|} &= e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+\sum_{k\neq j}e^{-\gamma|x-Q_k|}\leq e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}C_N^ke^{-\frac{k\gamma\mu}{2}}\\ &\leq e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}e^{k(\ln C_N-\frac{\gamma\mu}{2})}\leq e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+O(e^{-(\frac{\gamma\mu}{2}-\ln C_N)})\\ &\leq e^{-\gamma|x-Q_j|}+Ce^{-\frac{\gamma\mu}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

if $\ln C_N<\frac{\gamma\mu}{2}$, which is true for μ large enough.

The proof of (A.2) is similar. □

Proof of (4.9). By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|Re\int\epsilon^2|\tilde{A}(x)|^2z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big| &\leq\epsilon^2\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|z_Q|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq\delta\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_Q^2+C_\delta\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\\ &\leq\delta\epsilon^2\int|\tilde{A}(x)|^2w_Q^2+C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|Re\int \epsilon\tilde{V}(x)z_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big| &\le \epsilon\Big(\int \tilde{V}(x)|z_Q|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int \tilde{V}(x)|\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le \delta\epsilon\int \tilde{V}(x)w_Q^2 + C_\delta\epsilon\int \tilde{V}(x)|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\\ &\le \delta\epsilon\int \tilde{V}(x)w_Q^2 + C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|Re\int \epsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big)\Big|\\ &\le \epsilon\Big(\int|\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int|\tilde{A}|^2|\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\epsilon\int|\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}|^2 \le C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\Big|Re\int \epsilon\tilde{A}(x)\Big(\frac{\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}}{i}\bar{z}_Q - A_0\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\bar{z}_Q\Big)\Big| \le \delta\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|Re\int\frac{\epsilon}{i}\tilde{A}(x)\cdot\nabla w_Q\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big|\\ &= \Big|-Re\int\frac{\epsilon}{i}w_Q\Big(div\tilde{A}\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} + i\tilde{A}\cdot A_0\xi_Q\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q} + \xi_Q\tilde{A}\cdot\nabla\bar{\varphi}_{\sigma,Q}\Big)\Big|\\ &\le \epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}|w_Q|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}| + \epsilon\int|\tilde{A}||A_0|w_Q|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}| + \epsilon\int|\tilde{A}|w_Q|\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|\\ &\le \epsilon\Big(\int|div\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int\varphi_{\sigma,Q}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int|A_0|^2\varphi_{\sigma,Q}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\quad + \epsilon\Big(\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int|\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le \delta\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + C_\delta\epsilon\int\varphi_{\sigma,Q}^2 + \delta\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + C_\delta\epsilon\int\varphi_{\sigma,Q}^2\\ &\quad + \delta\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + C_\delta\epsilon\int|\nabla\varphi_{\sigma,Q}|^2\\ &\le \delta\epsilon\int|div\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + \delta\epsilon\int|\tilde{A}|^2w_Q^2 + C\|\varphi_{\sigma,Q}\|_{H^1}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we can choose $\delta > 0$ small enough. From all the estimates above, then (4.9) holds. □

References

[1] W. Ao and J. Wei, *Infinitely many positive solutions for nonlinear equations with non-symmetric potential*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equ. **51** (2014), 761-798.

[2] R. Brummelhuis, *Expotential decay in the semi-classical limit for eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields and potentials which degenerate at infinity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equ. **16** (1991), 1489-1502.

[3] T. Bartsch and E. N. Dancer and S. Peng, *On multi-bump semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, Adv. Differential Equ. **7** (2006), 781-812.

[4] A. Bahri and Y. Li, *On a min-max procedure for the existence of a positive solution for certain scalar field equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **6** (1990), 1-15.

[5] A. Bahri and P. L. Lions, *On the existence of a positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare **14** (1997), 365-413.

[6] G. Cerami and D. Passaseo and S. Solimini, *Infinitely many positive solutions to some scalar field equations with non-symmetric coefficients*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **66** (2013), 372-413.
[7] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Semiclassical limit for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 108-130.
[8] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Semiclassical states for NLS equations with magnetic potentials having polynomial growths*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005), 053503,19pp
[9] D. Cao and Z. Tang, *Existence and Uniqueness of multi-bump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, J. Differential Equ. **222** (2006), 381-424.
[10] M. del Pino and P. L. Felmer, *Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equ. **4** (1996), 121-137.
[11] M. del Pino and P. L. Felmer, *Semi-classical states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **149** (1997), 245-265.
[12] M. del Pino and J. Wei and W. Yao, *Intermediate reduction method and infinitely many positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-symmetric potentials*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equ. **53** (2015), 473-523.
[13] W. Ding and W. Ni, *On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **91** (1986), 283-308.
[14] M. Esteban and P. L. Lions, *Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field*, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **1** (1989), 401-449.
[15] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 397-408.
[16] B. Helffer, *On spectral theory for Schrödinger operator with magnetic potentials*, Spectral and scattering theory and applications, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1994, 113-141.
[17] B. Helffer, S*emiclassical analysis for Schrödinger operator with magnetic wells*, Quasiclassical methods (Minneapolis, MN, 1995), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., Springer, New York, 1997, 99-114.
[18] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *The tunnel effect for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic field*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **14** (1987), 625-657.
[19] K. Kurata, *Existence and semi-classical limit of the least energy solution to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagenetic fields*, Nonlinear Anal. **41** (2000), 763-778.
[20] G. Li and S. Peng and C. Wang, *Infinitely many solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, J. Differ. Equ. **251** (2011), 3500-3521.
[21] P. L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 1*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare **1** (1984), 109-145.
[22] P. L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 2*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare **1** (1984), 223-283.
[23] W. Liu and C. Wang, *Infinitely many solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with magnetic potentials in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 121508, 23pp
[24] M. Musso and F. Pacard and J. Wei, *Finite-energy sigh-changing solutions with dihedral symmetry for the stationary nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **14** (2012), 1923-1953.
[25] Y. G. Oh, *Existence of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class* $(V)_a$, Comm. Partial Differential Equ. **14** (1989), 833-834.
[26] H. Pi and C. Wang, *Multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **19** (2013), 91-111.
[27] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), 270-291.
[28] C. Sulem and P. L. Sulem, *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation, Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
[29] X. Wang, *On a concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **153** (1993), 229-244.
[30] C. Wang and J. Yang, *Infinitely many solutions to linearly coupled Schrödinger equations with non-symmetric potential*, to be published in J. Math. Phys. (2015).

[Weiming Liu] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: [Weiming Liu] whu.027@163.com

[Chunhua Wang] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P. R. China

E-mail address: [Chunhua Wang] chunhuawang@mail.ccnu.edu.cn